

## Natural Action™ Technologies Scientific Observational Study #3

### Structured Vase Water vs. Unstructured Vase Water

At Natural Action Technologies, Inc., we are often asked how one can validate the benefits of structured water. Well here is one such easy observational study that not only gives credence to structured water but also portrays one of the many benefits of structured water: preservation.

Can the longevity of dead plants show the validity of structured water? If this question seems a bit like an oxymoron, it is.

Said another way, does structured water have a preservative quality, even after the death of a living organism? Is structured water's preservation value more than that of chlorinated city tap water?

To find out the answer to these questions, we snipped roses from an incredible rose bush/tree that had been watered with structured water for over a year and a half.

Roses were clipped from the same structured rose bush/tree and put in vases. One vase was filled with structured water; the other vase with local chlorinated city tap water. The date was April 25, 2013.

We left the roses side by side and just observed what progressed on a daily basis.



April 25, 2013.  
Roses first clipped and placed in vases.  
Left: Unstructured Water Vase. Right: Structured Water Vase.



May 8, 2013. 13 days later.  
Left: Structured Water vase. Right: Unstructured Water vase.

These comparison photos were taken again May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013, 13 days after the roses were trimmed.

The general consensus was that the structured roses contained in the structured water vase maintained an overall higher preservation rate than the structured roses held in the unstructured water vase. It's a simple observation, but has several parameters. Day by day, we could observe the roses in the unstructured water vase drying out more rapidly even though the amount of water in the vases was the same and the conditions around the two vases the same.

Now, check out this vase water rose test for a side-by-side comparison of the shelf life of the flowers cut from the rose bush.

## Roses thirteen days after being placed in vases



### Structured Water Vase with Structured Roses

1. The leaves were undeniably more supple, flexible and still very green.
2. The rose petals were dry, but not nearly as dry as the unstructured water vase, and more fragrant. Also, the petals still clung to the flower, unlike the unstructured vase which had several petals that dropped off the flower.
3. The flower heads were still upright over two weeks later.



### Unstructured Water Vase with Structured Roses

1. The leaves were dry and crispy, cracked, curled, and had lost much of their green.
2. The petals of the roses left in chlorinated water were much drier, less fragrant, and falling off the flower before 13 days.
3. Additionally, the flower heads drooped and were in a much less fresher state.

The increased longevity of cut roses soaked in structured water versus those held in unstructured water does clearly show the preservative qualities of structured water. It's a test that anyone can easily do.

-Natural Action™ Technology Research Team, May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2013